1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires each district and charter school to complete and submit a justification when it anticipates exceeding 1.0 percent of students assessed in a subject area (i.e., English Language Arts/Reading, Mathematics, and/or Science) with the NCEXTEND1 alternate assessment. Justifications from each district and charter school will be reviewed by the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (NCDPI), and follow up actions will be determined based on the information found in the justification document. Staff from the Exceptional Children and Accountability Divisions in each district and charter school should collaborate to provide the following information on the justification document. Responses to Sections 1–4 and the designated signatures are required; it is optional to include additional information (see page 5). This justification document will be publicly posted. As such, the document <u>must not contain any personally identifiable information</u>. If necessary, additional pages may be attached to this form.

Section 1: Contact Information

Enter contact information for the primary district/charter school staff member responsible for overseeing the completion of the justification form.

3-Digit LEA/Charter Code: 45B
Contact Name: Molly Luplow
Contact Phone No.: (828) 684-3645
District/Charter Name: FernLeaf Community Charter School
Contact Title: School Director
Contact E-Mail: molly.luplow@fernleafccs.org

Section 2: Analyzing Contributing Factors

Did the Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams utilize the alternate assessment <u>eligibility criteria</u> and the <u>North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart</u> to make alternate assessment participation decisions?

⊠ Yes □ No

Indicate how all members of the IEP teams have been informed or trained on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria and the North Carolina Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart. Check all that apply.

Training Method	School Administration	Special Education Staff	Parents	Related Service Staff	
Face-to-face training					
Online training					
Given copy of guidance documents		×	×		
No training provided		· 🗆			
Other, please explain below			\boxtimes		

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

Other, please explain below:							
Parents, Related Service Staff, and School Administration are briefed on the alternate assessment eligibility criteria at any IEP meeting where alternate assessments are being considered.							
		* * *	te in the alternate assessment that do not				
			t, Specific Learning Disability, etc.)? If yes,				
_	ermi	ned these students meet	the criteria for participation in the alternate				
assessment.		V	₩ NI-				
Explain halovy		Yes	⊠ No				
Explain below:							
Click or tap here to enter text.							
Does the district or charter school	prov	ide a targeted program t	hat may contribute to a higher enrollment of				
students with significant cognitive	disa	bilities?					
		Yes	⊠ No				
Explain below:							
Click or tap here to enter text.							
		a small overall student	population that increased the likelihood of				
exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold	d?						
	\boxtimes	Yes	□ No				
Explain below:	KA	1 03	110				
Explain octori.							
We do have a small overall student population. During the 2018-2019 School year we had 139 total							
students taking 3rd - 5th Grade ELA & Math EOGs and 45 5th Grade students taking the Science EOG.							
Having 2 students take the Extend1 Alternate Assessment put us at 1.4% for ELA & Math and 4.4% for Science.							
OGGIICE.							

NCDPI/Division of Accountability Services

Section 3: Assurances

Does the district or charter school have a process in place to monitor alternate assessment participation?

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

\boxtimes \bigvee
Explain below:
The IEP team will continue to look at each student individually to determine if the student has a significant cognitive disability or any other factors that would prohibit participation in regular test administration with maximum accommodations provided. Participation in alternate assessments will be closely monitored through annual internal compliance monitoring reviews, annual LEA Self Assessment Update planning, and annual IEP reviews.
Does the district or charter school have a process in place to identify and address disproportionality in alternate assessment participation (specifically, among race, gender, or socioeconomic status groups)?
⊠ Yes □ No
Explain below:
In the event that disproportionality is discovered we will follow our problem solveing process as identified in our LEA Self Assessment. This process determines the problem, possible solutions, pro's & con's, timeline for completion of solution, and scheduled check-ins.
Section 4: Resources and Technical Assistance
What resources and technical assistance does the district or charter school need from the North Carolina Department of Public Instruction to ensure that students are being assessed using the appropriate assessment?
Continued access to the eligibility criteria and the NC Alternate Assessment Decision Making Flow Chart.
Signatures
Superintendent/Charter School Director Exceptional Children Director/Coordinator LEA/Charter School Test Coordinator Date 1/13/2020 Date 1/13/2020 Date 1/13/2020

The completed justification form must be signed by the superintendent/charter school director, exceptional children's director/coordinator, and LEA/charter school testing coordinator. The form must be scanned and emailed to alternateassessment@dpi.nc.gov by May 3, 2019.

The NCDPI will notify districts/charter schools in writing if further information is needed and will include next steps. For questions, please contact your Exceptional Children Director or Regional Accountability Coordinator.

<u>Note</u>: See page 5 for additional information that can be included but is not required.

1.0 Percent Participation Justification Form 2018–19

The following additional information can be included with the justification documentation, but is not required:

- Evidence that all educators who administer the alternate assessment meet the requirements for test administrators and have received test administration training prior to administering the alternate assessment.
- Evidence that all students have appropriate access to accessibility features on statewide tests.
- A review of the percentage of students taking the alternate assessment at grade 3 versus grades 4–7 versus grade 8 versus high school and an explanation of how Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams are making consistent participation decisions across grade levels.
- A review of data to determine if students are moving from the alternate assessment to the general assessment or vice versa and an explanation for grade levels where this action is more prevalent.
- Evidence that the district is providing appropriate supports and services to students with disabilities to assist in meeting the same graduation requirements as their non-disabled peers.
- An evaluation of students instructed using the Extended Content Standards, but who are spending more than eighty percent (80%) of their day in the general education setting.
- Evidence of data-driven team decisions to determine appropriate instruction and assessment.
- An assessment of varying practices across a district and/or between different schools.
- An explanation of special programs or populations that are served by the district/charter school that may contribute to the alternate assessment participation rate.